





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/965,142	09/27/2001	James M. Keegan	483471-009-C1	7345		
27805	7590 01/14/2003					
THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.			EXAMI	NER		
	HOUSE PLAZA , N.E. COND STREET	·	ELVE, MARIA	ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA		
DAYTON, OH	I 45402		ADTIBUT	D. DED MUNICIPAL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1725	12		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003	. –		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/965,142

Keegan et al.

Examiner

M. Alexandra Elve

Art Unit 1725



The MAILING DATE	of this communication appea	ars on the cover shee	et with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THI	IS COMMUNICATION.			MONTH(S) FROM be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication							
 If the period for reply specified above is If NO period for reply is specified above Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later it earned patent term adjustment. See 37 	i, the maximum statutory period will ap led period for reply will, by statute, cau han three months after the mailing date	ply and will expire SIX (6) N se the application to become	MONTHS f e ABAND(rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	unication(s) filed on			<u> </u>			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b) 🗔 This	action is non-final.					
	is in condition for allowand with the practice under <i>Ex</i>	•		ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s)		<u> 25</u>		is/are pending in the application.			
				is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) [Claim(s)				is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s)				is/are objected to.			
8) Claims	1-25	are :	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers							
9) The specification is of	ojected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed of	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not req	quest that any objection to th	ne drawing(s) be held	l in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing	g correction filed on	is:	a)a	approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected	drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office acti	on.				
12) The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	19 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is	made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some*	c) None of:						
1. Certified copies	of the priority documents I	nave been received	l.				
2. Certified copies	of the priority documents	nave been received	in App	olication No			
application	ertified copies of the priority on from the International B ad Office action for a list of	ureau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).				
. * "		·					
14) Acknowledgement is	thade of a claim for domes he foreign language provisi						
15) Acknowledgement is		· ·					
Attachment(s)	Thouse of a cidiff for doffles	she priority under 3	0.3.	C. 33 120 ana/or 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89	92)	4) - Interview Sum	mary (PT)	0-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra		5-1-5		nt Application (PTO-152)			
3) [_] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other					

Application/Control Number: 09/965,142

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17 & 25 are, drawn to product, classified in class 219, subclass 145.22.
 - II. Claims 18-21 are, drawn to method, classified in class 219, subclass 137R.
 - III. Claims 22-23 are, drawn to product, classified in class 148, subclass 550.
 - IV. Claim 24 is, drawn to method, classified in class 219, subclass 136.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the wire manufacturing method may be used for a variety of compositions.
- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case a welding wire does not have to be composed of a tube.
- 4. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/965,142

Art Unit:

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case a weld does not have to be formed using a welding wire.

- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case an aluminum tube does not have to be used in the manufacturing of a welding wire.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case a welding wire does not have to be comprised of a tube.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case an aluminum tube does not have to be used to form a weld.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I (II, IV) is not required for Group II (I, IV), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

adjete?

Art Unit:

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092.

January 13, 2003.